



# भारतीय विधिज्ञ परिषद् BAR COUNCIL OF INDIA

(Statutory Body Constituted under the Advocates Act, 1961)

21, Rouse Avenue Institutional Area, Near Bal Bhawan, New Delhi - 110002

BCI:D 643 : 2026 (LE/Std. 27.06.2026)

01.07.2026

1.	<b>The Registrar, Mind Power University, Village Bohrakoon Road, Bhimtal, Nainital, Uttarakhand-263136 Email ID: registrar@mindpoweruniversity.ac.in</b>
2.	<b>The Dean, School of Legal Studies, Mind Power University, Village Bohrakoon Road, Bhimtal, Nainital, Uttarakhand-263136 Email ID: drjayendrarathore002@gmail.com</b>

**Sub: Intimation with regard to the decision of the Standing Committee of Legal Education of the Bar Council of India granting recognition to Mind Power University, Bhimtal, Nainital, Uttarakhand as per Section 7(1)(i) of the Advocates Act, 1961 for the purpose of conferring the Degrees in Law AND fresh approval to its School of Legal Studies, A Constituent Unit of Mind Power University, Bhimtal, Nainital, Uttarakhand for imparting 3-year LL.B. degree course with intake of two (2) sections of 60 students in each course; i.e. 120 seats in 3-year LL.B. degree course AND 5-year B.A. LL.B. integrated degree course with intake of one (1) section of 60 students i.e. 60 seats in 5-year B.A. LL.B. integrated degree course only for the academic year/session 2026-27 subject to verification/inspection, re-inspection and strict continuing compliance of Rules of Legal Education, 2008 and all circulars/directives/guidelines /notifications issued from time to time by the Bar Council of India with respect to maintenance of standards of Legal Education.**

**CLE to furnish a duly notarised compliance affidavit (Annexure – C/A) with adequate photo/documentary proof in this regard, with all pages of affidavit, annexures, photos, being duly notarised within 6 months from the date of receipt of this letter.**

**The compliance affidavit shall not be treated as a general formality. It shall contain a course-wise, section-wise and year-wise compliance chart with supporting notarised annexures, including the current University affiliation/NOC, sanctioned intake, faculty list with designations, qualifications, nature of appointment, workload allocation, appointment letters, joining reports, bank salary proof and proof of payment at par with the applicable UGC pay scales, together with documentary proof relating to**

classrooms, library, e-library/legal databases, moot court, legal aid clinic, computer laboratory, biometric attendance system, CCTV, fire safety, anti-ragging, Student Grievance Redressal Committee, Internal Complaints Committee, anti-discrimination/equal opportunity mechanism and other student support systems.

The CLE shall maintain functional anti-ragging, student grievance redressal, internal complaints, anti-discrimination/equal opportunity and student well-being mechanisms in terms of the BCI circulars and the comprehensive compliance affidavit. Names, contact details, complaint channels and basic procedure shall be displayed on the institutional website and notice boards and records shall be produced during inspection or whenever called by BCI.

The compliance affidavit and all annexures/lists shall be verified, signed by the authorised signatory of the University/CLE, duly stamped/sealed, and shall remain subject to verification/inspection by the Bar Council of India.

The affiliating University/University authority and the CLE shall be jointly responsible for ensuring that admissions, teaching, examinations and award of degree remain confined strictly to the approved course, approved section, sanctioned intake, approved campus, approved mode and the academic session mentioned in this letter. The approval shall not operate as approval for any additional section, shift, off-campus centre, study centre, franchise arrangement, online, distance, blended, joint, dual or foreign collaboration based law programme.

**Sir/Ma'am,**

This is to bring to your kind knowledge that the Standing Committee of the Legal Education Committee of the Bar Council of India in its meeting dated 27.06.2026 has considered the inspection report of **School of Legal Studies, A Constituent Unit of Mind Power University, Bhimtal, Nainital, Uttarakhand** submitted by the Inspection Team.

The Centre of Legal Education (CLE) has applied for recognition to **Mind Power University, Bhimtal, Nainital, Uttarakhand** AND fresh approval of to its **School of Legal Studies, A Constituent Unit of Mind Power University, Bhimtal, Nainital, Uttarakhand** for imparting 3-year LL.B. degree course AND 5-year B.A. LL.B. integrated degree course with intake of two (2) sections of 60 students in each section in each course for the academic year/session 2026-27.

After consideration, recognition has been granted to **Mind Power University, Bhimtal, Nainital, Uttarakhand** as per Section 7(1) (i) of the Advocates Act, 1961 for the purpose of conferring the Degrees in Law AND fresh approval to its **School of Legal Studies, A Constituent Unit of Mind Power University, Bhimtal, Nainital, Uttarakhand** for imparting 3-year LL.B. degree course with intake of two (2) sections of 60 students in each course; i.e. 120 seats in 3-year LL.B. degree course AND 5-year B.A. LL.B. integrated degree course with intake of one (1) section of 60 students i.e. 60 seats in 5-year B.A. LL.B. integrated degree course only for the academic year/session 2026-27 subject to such conditions as imposed by the Inspection Team of Bar Council of India which have been approved with additions/modifications, where necessary by the Standing Committee in order to ensure compliance with Rules of Legal Education, 2008 and as per other stipulations/enumerations in the Rules of Legal Education & other guidelines/circulars issued in this regard from time to time by Bar Council of India.

**Fresh Approval of affiliation was granted for imparting 5-year B.A. LL.B. integrated degree course with intake of one (1) section of 60 students in each section while one (1) more fresh section sought for the above said 5-year B.A. LL.B. integrated degree course was declined on account of inadequate infrastructural physical and academic deficiencies as enumerated in Rules of Legal Education 2008 (Schedule III), read along with circulars, guidelines, directives and notification issued time to time by BCI for maintenance of standards of Legal Education.**

**The recognition under Section 7(1)(i) of the Advocates Act, 1961 is confined to the law degrees and courses expressly approved through School of Legal Studies, A Constituent Unit of Mind Power University, Bhimtal, Nainital, Uttarakhand, for the academic session and intake mentioned herein. It shall not be construed as general, blanket or perpetual recognition for any other law course, additional section, nomenclature, centre, mode, campus or future session, unless specifically approved by BCI.**

**It is expressly clarified that the recognition and fresh approval so granted is conditional, institution-specific and course/intake-specific, and shall remain strictly subject to verification, inspection, re-inspection and continuing compliance with the Rules of Legal Education, 2008, all BCI circulars, directives, guidelines, notifications and all conditions imposed herein. The recognition and approval shall not be treated as unconditional or immune from review at any stage, and no equity shall be claimed on the basis of admission of students if any material deficiency is subsequently found or remains unrectified.**

**The deficiencies recorded by the Inspection Team, the conditions imposed in this letter and the matters required under the comprehensive compliance affidavit shall be treated as continuing conditions. If any statement, document, list, photograph, affidavit or record is found to be incorrect, incomplete, misleading or unverifiable, BCI shall be entitled to take action including withdrawal, suspension or non-extension of approval, restriction on admissions, further inspection and any other action permissible under the Advocates Act, 1961 and the Rules of Legal Education, 2008.**

**The CLE/University shall still comply with all statutory, regulatory and inspection-linked requirements, including faculty norms, classroom and library norms, clinical legal education facilities, student welfare committees, biometric attendance, CCTV, fire safety and the comprehensive compliance affidavit within the time indicated in this letter.**

It is further recorded that Mind Power University has been shown as established under Uttarakhand Act No. 5 of 2024 dated 16.03.2024. The University shall file a certified copy of the Gazette notification, statute/ordinance authorising law courses, constitution of the School of Legal Studies, appointment of statutory officers, examination and record-keeping mechanism, and proof of secure strong room/records room arrangement for law examinations and degree records.

The recognition under Section 7(1)(i) of the Advocates Act, 1961 shall not be construed as a blanket recognition of every law programme, off-campus activity, affiliated institution, collaboration or intake of the University. It shall operate only for the law degrees and course-wise intake expressly approved by the Bar Council of India through this communication and any future approval order issued by BCI.

Since the inspection material records that the administrative building is temporary/government premises, the permanent campus is under construction and a specific strong room was not maintained, the University/CLE shall file,

within 60 days, authenticated proof of lawful possession/use of the approved campus, permanent or duly authorised premises for legal education, secure records/strong room arrangement, classroom mapping, examination secrecy protocol and campus-wise infrastructure available for law courses.

The second section sought for the 5-year B.A. LL.B. integrated degree course shall not be acted upon. Admissions for the academic year 2026-27 shall remain confined to two sections of 60 students each in the 3-year LL.B. course and one section of 60 students in the 5-year B.A. LL.B. integrated course, unless a separate written approval is issued by the Bar Council of India.

**The CLE/University shall still comply with all statutory, regulatory and inspection-linked requirements, including faculty norms, classroom and library norms, clinical legal education facilities, student welfare committees, biometric attendance, CCTV, fire safety and the comprehensive compliance affidavit within the time indicated in this letter.**

**KINDLY NOTE---**Bar Council of India vide letter dated 11.03.2026 bearing no. BCI:D: 1452/2026 addressed to all the CLEs had circulated a compliance to be filed by all the CLEs in light of order dated 15.01.2026 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Amit Kumar & Ors. v. Union of India & Ors., 2026 INSC 62, regarding mandatory obligations concerning student well-being, suicide prevention, anti-ragging, student grievance redressal, counselling, anti-discrimination, gender sensitisation, and institutional support systems in legal education institutions. Now, the Ministry of Law & Justice has sought details of the compliance reports filed by the CLEs to present it before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore, the CLE is required to submit its monthly report immediately & continuously for each month as per procedure prescribed in the letter dated 11.03.2026, bearing no. BCI:D: 1452/2026, and email it to [studentwellbeing@barcouncilofindia.org](mailto:studentwellbeing@barcouncilofindia.org)

**Specific conditions imposed by the inspection team and duly modified where required and approved by the Committee which are required to be complied with are as follows, failing which approval of affiliation granted may be withdrawn and future approval may not be considered:-**

The following conditions are specifically imposed on Mind Power University, Bhimtal, Nainital, Uttarakhand and its School of Legal Studies, A Constituent Unit of Mind Power University, and shall be complied with within the time stipulated herein, subject always to verification by the Bar Council of India.

**1. Faculty's Salary & Appointment:**

- a). The CLE shall appoint the required number of qualified Non-Law Faculty members for teaching the non-law subjects prescribed in the integrated law programme. The appointments shall be made in accordance with the applicable UGC and Bar Council of India norms
- b). The CLE shall furnish complete details regarding the salary structure of the faculty members, as the information to the

BCI. Further, the institution should take necessary steps to strengthen faculty appointments, as per UGC scale.

**2. Library:**

Upon verification of the library resources, it was observed that the library must purchase more authoritative text books and reference books in law as well as in Social Sciences, and Computer subjects etc. by authors of repute worth minimum of Rs. 10 (Ten) Lacs relating to the proposed courses in terms of clause 15 of Schedule III of Rules of Legal Education, 2008 in addition to existing books and journals.

**3. Moot Court Hall:**

The Moot Court Hall shall be upgraded by Judges' Retiring Room with proper crown chair. Necessary infrastructure shall be developed to ensure effective practical training.

**4. A Legal Aid Clinic board must be replaced at the main gate. As it is made on flex at exterior to the entrance of the campus.**

**5. Lift should also be provisioned for the specially abled persons and staff.**

**6. Classrooms:**

*The CLE presently has only 14 classrooms of varying sizes (900 sq. ft. and 600 sq. ft.) against the required 16 classrooms, resulting in a shortfall of 2 classrooms. The institution is advised to provide the additional required classrooms to comply with the infrastructure requirements prescribed under the Rules of Legal Education, 2008.*

The University/CLE shall submit a consolidated item-wise compliance chart confirming additional classroom availability, appointment of required qualified non-law faculty for the integrated programme, UGC scale salary through bank, purchase of books and journals of the minimum value directed, functional e-database access, upgraded moot court with Judges retiring room and proper dais/chairs, legal aid clinic board and records, barrier-free access including lift/ramp, and statutory student support committees with website disclosure.

*A period of 60 (sixty) days' time limit may be allowed for fulfilling the conditions indicated.*

**FURTHERMORE, every CLE is required to furnish a duly notarised compliance affidavit attached as ANNEXURE C/A with adequate photo/documentary proof of compliance of Rules and Regulations of Legal Education, along with all circulars, directives issued by BCI, with all pages of affidavit, annexures, photos, being duly notarised within 6 months from the date of receipt of this letter.**

The Centre of Legal Education is required to ensure full compliance with all stipulated norms, rules, and regulations of legal education, along with mandatory guidelines issued by the Bar Council of India. This includes adherence to all enumerations specified in BCI circulars, relevant directives, and applicable court judgments or orders. As stated above, the compliance must be submitted within 6 months from the date of receipt of the BCI letter or earlier both in physical hard copy and as a scanned copy sent via email to [complianceaffiliationle2@gmail.com](mailto:complianceaffiliationle2@gmail.com) with the subject line:- "Minimum Compliance to Approval-Requirements."

All lists, statements, faculty details, infrastructure details, library details, student/intake details and compliance documents supplied to the Bar Council of India shall be signed by the authorised signatory of the University/CLE, bear the official seal/stamp, and be supported by verifiable documentary proof. No unsigned, unauthenticated, unstamped or unverifiable list shall be treated as valid compliance.

It is essential to understand that compliance with all conditions and legal education regulations including mentioned above is mandatory. Any deficiencies or discrepancies whether discovered through submitted documents, online portal registrations, or official forms may lead to serious consequences, including ineligibility to admit students in the academic session 2027-28.

The CLE is specifically required to submit appointment letters, verified qualification documents, and proof of regular salary payment as per UGC scales for each newly appointed faculty member. In addition, the CLE must provide duly notarized compliance reports detailing the status of physical infrastructure, library acquisitions, and faculty appointments. These reports will be reviewed by the BCI Standing Committee, and any failure to report accurately or any falsification of records will invite necessary action.

Moreover, the CLE must be prepared to receive additional compliance directions from BCI and may be subject to scheduled or surprise inspections. These inspections will evaluate whether the CLE has maintained full compliance with the conditions outlined in the approval process, the rules of legal education, and all circulars issued by the BCI from time to time.

**The minimum compliance Affidavit format is attached as ANNEXURE C/A.**

**It is further clarified that this is the minimum level of compliance required. If any additional specific conditions have been imposed on the CLE, as mentioned above( by Inspection Team, duly approved by Standing Committee) those must be separately complied with, either by incorporating additional explanatory paragraphs in Annexure C/A or by submitting distinct compliance documents as appropriate.**

The present approval and all consequential admissions shall remain subject to verification/inspection and compliance. The CLE shall not treat the approval as final, absolute or immune from review merely because the academic session has commenced or students have been admitted.

**Specific conditions which are required to be complied with in view of Rules of Legal Education, 2008 and circulars, guidelines, directives, public notification issued by the Bar Council of India from time to time for maintenance of standards of Legal Education, failing which approval of**

**affiliation granted may be withdrawn and future approval may not be considered. These paras/conditions below may be co-related also with the specific deficiencies points mentioned above (by the inspection team) which was duly considered and modified and approved by the Standing Committee, so that the said deficiencies can be adequately rectified, keeping below parameters as a guideline/yardstick for the same so long as they can be made co-relatable to the above specific conditions. Even otherwise, these conditions have to be as it complied with in letter and spirit by each CLE.**

### Faculty

For faculty compliance, only full-time, duly qualified, regularly appointed core faculty paid at par with the applicable UGC/Government pay scale through verifiable bank transaction shall be counted. Guest faculty, visiting faculty, part-time faculty, honorary faculty, faculty not bearing regular workload, or faculty not paid through verifiable bank salary proof at the applicable scale shall not be counted towards the mandatory core faculty strength or the 1:40 ratio. The faculty list shall be course-wise, subject-wise and workload-wise, signed and stamped by the authorised signatory of the CLE and counter-verified by the University/affiliating authority, wherever applicable.

As per Rule-16 and Rule-17 of Schedule III of Legal Education Rules, 2008, the minimum requirement for three-year LL.B degree course with 1 or 2 section/s is 4 faculty in first year, 6 in second year, 8 by the third year alongwith 1 Principal.

Furthermore, in addition there should be adequate English, Computer teachers in the ratio of 1:40 and there should be 1 qualified librarian. If the strength goes beyond 2 sections, the entire ratio of all faculty members should be 1:40.

Similarly, the minimum requirement for five-year integrated degree course with 1 or 2 section/s is 6 in first year, 8 in second year, 10 from third year. Principal will be common.

For specialization or Honours courses, there has to be a minimum of 3 teachers for such specialized course 1 major and 2 minor apart from having English and Computer teacher. Kindly note that these faculty members have to be in the ratio of 1:40 for the years they are studying such subjects during the entire duration of the course.

CLE must ensure that all appointed faculty members undertake Faculty Development Programmes on a regular basis.

The Law faculty is required to be adequately qualified with minimum 2-year LL.M degree for teaching LL.B or have any other higher degree for teaching law subjects therein. The non-law faculty for integrated Law degree courses with respect to BA LL.B, BBA LL.B, B.Com LL.B, B.Sc LL.B. etc. must have a minimum of masters in those particular subjects being taught. 1 major and 2 minor subjects have to be taught in the 5 years BA, BBA, B.Com. B.Sc LL.B. etc Integrated Law degree course/s. **The principal or equivalent is required to have minimum prescribed qualifications in law prescribed by UGC, and should have minimum 15 years of experience. He/she has to be a Professor in law with Ph.d in law.**

They are required to be paid as per salary as per latest UGC pay scale commensurate to their designation as Assistant Professor, Associate Professor and/or Professor. **Further, the CLE is required to mention the designation of all the faculty members.**

**All faculty members counted for compliance shall be duly appointed/regularised on a full-time basis and shall be paid salary as per the latest applicable UGC/Government pay scale commensurate with their designation.**

**For avoidance of doubt, BCI shall recognise only eligible core permanent/full-time faculty for Rule 17 compliance. Contractual faculty, if any, shall be counted only if they are full-time, lawfully appointed, assigned regular workload and paid salary and service benefits equivalent to or better than the applicable UGC/Government pay scale. Guest/visiting/adjunct/honorary/part-time faculty shall not be counted towards the minimum core faculty or 1:40 ratio.**

**The ratio of faculty members to students should not be less than 1:40 after the minimum strength of core faculty as per Rule 17 is ensured.**

**It is reiterated that for the purposes of Rule-17 (core faculty), only full-time, regularly appointed law teachers shall be counted, and only if they are within the age of service prescribed by the competent authority having jurisdiction over the CLE (State Government, Parent University, UGC, concerned Ministry, or regulatory body). The applicable age of superannuation shall be as notified for the CLE and State, for illustration, in the National Capital Territory of Delhi (including the University of Delhi system) the prevailing superannuation age for university teachers is sixty-five (65) years, whereas in some States it is sixty (60) years. Any engagement beyond the notified age (for example, re-employment, contract, adjunct or visiting, or emeritus) shall be only in accordance with the governing statutes and regulations and, unless expressly permitted under those provisions and sanctioned against a regular post, shall not be reckoned toward the minimum core faculty mandated by Rule-17 or for computing the 1:40 faculty–student ratio. The CLE shall keep on record and produce to the BCI, on request, documentary proof of each core faculty member’s regular appointment, sanctioned post, date of birth, and the applicable superannuation norm notified by the competent authority.**

**The details the entire list of faculties members with their appointment letters should be furnished to BCI within three months.**

**Every faculty list submitted to BCI shall be signed by the Registrar/Dean/Principal or other competent authorised signatory, shall bear the official stamp/seal of the University/CLE on each page, and shall be accompanied by appointment letters, joining reports, qualification documents, workload details, salary/payment proof, and proof of payment at applicable UGC scale wherever contractual faculty is relied upon.**

**For the purposes of Rule 17 core faculty and for the faculty-student ratio, the CLE shall not submit or rely upon guest faculty, visiting faculty, adjunct faculty, honorary faculty or occasional lecturers. The faculty list to be furnished to BCI shall contain only permanent full-time faculty and such full-time contractual faculty, if any, who are duly appointed against verifiable posts/requirements, possess the prescribed qualifications, work on full-time basis, and are paid at par with the applicable UGC pay scale commensurate with their designation. Guest/visiting/adjunct/honorary faculty may be shown separately, if the CLE so desires, only for additional academic enrichment, but shall not be counted towards Rule 17 core faculty or the 1:40 faculty-student ratio.**

**Since the inspection material refers to permanent, contractual and guest teaching faculty, the CLE shall submit a reconciled, category-wise and designation-wise faculty statement clearly separating permanent full-time faculty, full-time contractual faculty, and guest/visiting/adjunct/honorary faculty. Only the first two**

categories, subject to full-time appointment, prescribed qualifications and UGC-scale payment, shall be considered for compliance, subject to verification/inspection.

The complete list of faculty members, category-wise and designation-wise, along with appointment letters, joining reports, qualification documents, workload details, salary proof and supporting records, shall be furnished to BCI within three (3) months; each page of the list shall be signed by the authorised signatory and duly stamped/sealed by the University/CLE.

#### **Classroom size and Furnishings**

The CLE should ensure to have adequate (11) number of classrooms of minimum 750 square feet, to accommodate all batches of students across all years for its law degree course/s. All classrooms should be of minimum 750 Sq. ft. and refurbished with new, comfortable chairs and benches to ensure a conducive learning environment. Proper size and standard furnishings are necessary to meet the requirements of Rules of legal Education. CLE must ensure that every classroom has light, fan, teacher's podium, teachers chair and teacher's table. There should be mike in large classrooms.

Kindly note the classrooms have to be commensurate to the number of sections allocated for a law degree course multiplied by the number of years of the degree course. For instance, a 3-year LL.B degree course with 1 section of 60 students should have 3 classrooms, and for 2 sections, it should have 6 classrooms. Similarly a 5 year integrated law degree course should have 5 classrooms, for 1 section of 60 students and 10 classrooms for 2 sections of 60 students each.

Further advised to create/establish smart classrooms and/or install projectors in classrooms.

#### **Library Enhancements**

The institute should invest in acquiring more reference and textbooks of the latest editions of authors of repute. Allocate an annual budget of at least Rs. 10 lakhs for purchasing new reference books, textbooks, and journals. Furthermore, the CLE should establish an e-library by subscribing to reputable e-law journals and acquiring electronic databases of law journals, as specified in the Rules of Legal Education.

-Ensure the library is open for reasonable hours to accommodate student needs.  
 -Create adequate reading space for students and upgrade the overall infrastructure of the library. As per Schedule III, provision 4, 6 and 15-A Law Library has have a set of AIR manual, Combo offer of CD of AIR Pvt. Ltd. (containing electronic version of AIR Supreme Court and High Court Data bases Research 1950-2015 (four connections each) Cr. L.J. Data Base 1950-2015(four connections) AIR Privy Council Data Base 1900-1950 (four connections) AIR Manual latest 6th Edition(1-45 Vols.) AIR Journal 2015, Cr. L.J. 2015, L.I.C. 2015, AIR Civil Cases 2015, AIR Law Lines 2015, AIR Cheque Dishonour Reports 2015, AIR Accident Claims and compensation 2015, CLE shall get electronic versions updated every year by AIR Pvt. Ltd. Central Acts and Local Acts, Criminal law journal, SCC, Company cases, Indian Bar Review, selected Judgements on Professional Ethics and Journals with the back volumes for at least ten years and also such number of text books in each subjects taught during the period according to the minimum standard ratio of ten books for each registered students. For running integrated program, textbooks of such other subjects are also to be kept in the similar minimum ratio. CLE must buy separate social science books for their law library. CLE must procure books of good authors and publishers.

The Library has to have adequate space for keeping books, periodicals, and journals. CLE must maintain accession register properly. There should not be any discrepancy in the entries made. Books should be properly arranged

The library shall also have adequate reading space for at least 25% of the enrolled students according to per capita reading space specified by any standard setting bodies like UGC.(Rule 4).

The Library has to have adequate space in the library for computer facility with access to internet and national and international library access and data bases.(Rule 6).

Equip the library with at least 30 computers. As per schedule III clause 9 (b) Computer Education has to be made compulsory for all the students.

### **Moot Court Room**

The Moot Court Room must be equipped with all necessary amenities to simulate courtroom proceedings effectively. It should have a dedicated space, distinct from other uses, and adhere to the Bar Council of India norms, including the provision of three wooden Crown Chairs for judges, on judges dais podiums for counsels, a witness box, and a designated podium for the Registry including Table chairs for court officers (supporting staff). The photograph of dignitaries like Mahatma Gandhi and B.R. Ambedkar should be affixed.

The size of the Moot Court Room should be sufficient to accommodate at least 70 percent of the student and faculty strength, as well as external participants and invitees, ensuring ample space for effective conduct of moot court activities.

The Centre for Legal Education (CLE) shall place the Moot Court Room under the management of a senior faculty member along with a regular practicing advocate from the local courts. They will oversee the organization of moot court activities and maintain comprehensive records of all proceedings and events. CLE to ensure that moot court exercises, in terms of clause 24 of Schedule II, Rule 6 Part II (B) of Rules of Legal Education, 2008 are done on regular basis.

### **Legal Aid Clinic**

The Centre for Legal Education (CLE) must strengthen its provision of free legal aid services to the local community in accordance with Clause 11, Schedule III of Rule 11 of the Legal Education Rules, 2008, by ensuring adequate publicity and collaboration with the District Legal Services Authority. The Legal Aid Centre should be strategically relocated to the ground floor near the main entry door for greater accessibility, with prominent signage displayed at both the entrance of the CLE campus and the main entry door, clearly indicating available services and contact information. The centre should be adequately furnished with comfortable seating for visitors and maintain comprehensive records of its activities, including registers and visuals of awareness programs conducted, which should be displayed both inside and outside the Legal Aid Centre to enhance visibility and outreach. CLE to put the LAC under the management of some senior Professors and to maintain a regular record of all its activities, along with photographs of various activities.

### **Common Rooms**

The Centre for Legal Education (CLE) must provide well-furnished, adequately equipped, and separately designated Boys' and Girls' Common Rooms in the Academic Building to ensure enhanced comfort, privacy, and recreational facilities for students. The Girls Common Room should be allocated a spacious and decent room with an attached washroom, drinking water facility, and two beds encircled with curtains for privacy. Both rooms should be furnished with adequate (not less than 30) number of comfortable chairs, more tables, a common table, a mirror, a dressing table, and indoor games kits, along with a first-aid kit for emergencies/medical needs. The Common washrooms should have liquid soaps, and mirrors.

### **Waste Management**

The CLE should keep the disposal of wastes management properly. Proper waste management practices must be implemented throughout the College. This includes the installation of dustbins in all classrooms, moot court rooms, common rooms, and staff areas to ensure cleanliness and effective waste disposal.

### **Accessibility Improvements**

To accommodate differently abled students and staff, the CLE must make necessary provisions, including the construction of ramps, lifts, and the installation of Braille symbols, ensuring

compliance with accessibility standards and it should be exclusive for Law students, staff and faculty so that it is convenient for the differently abled students considering their right to access.

### **Disaster Management and Fire Safety:**

The Centre for Legal Education (CLE) must ensure comprehensive fire safety measures across the campus, including the installation of fire extinguishers in key areas, provision of emergency exit lighting, and strategically placed fire alarms. Additionally, adequate fire prevention and preparedness protocols should be implemented, including regular safety drills and clear emergency evacuation routes to safeguard the well-being of all occupants.

### **Anti-Ragging Measures**

The CLE has to ensure strict implementation of a comprehensive anti-ragging framework in accordance with the guidelines issued by the Bar Council of India and the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *University of Kerala v. Council of Principals of Colleges* [(2009) 4 SCC 741]. The CLE has to ensure the constitution of an Anti-Ragging Committee and Squad with powers to prevent, monitor, and take strict disciplinary action against any act of ragging. The CLE has to ensure that every student submits a mandatory anti-ragging undertaking co-signed by their parent/guardian. The CLE has to ensure the availability of a 24x7 dedicated anti-ragging helpline and an online complaint mechanism. The CLE has to ensure that all incidents, if any, are reported promptly to BCI, the affiliating university, and law enforcement authorities, thereby strictly enforcing zero tolerance, as reaffirmed in *Vishwa Jagriti Mission v. Central Government* [(2001) 6 SCC 577]. The CLE has to ensure the conduct of awareness programs, workshops, and seminars, and has to ensure publication of the anti-ragging policy, penalties, and annual statistics on its website, brochures, and campus notice boards.

### **Empathy, Counselling, and Kindness Initiatives**

The CLE has to ensure the promotion of a culture of empathy, psychological well-being, and mutual respect among all stakeholders, in line with the Mental Healthcare Act, 2017 and relevant judicial directives. The CLE has to ensure the establishment and effective functioning of a Counselling and Wellness Centre with qualified mental health professionals. The CLE has to ensure the conduct of regular workshops on emotional intelligence, kindness, and inclusivity, and integrate these modules into induction programs. The CLE has to ensure that faculty performance evaluations include parameters related to mentoring quality and supportive conduct. The CLE has to ensure robust grievance redressal mechanisms to address psychological or emotional issues promptly. The CLE has to ensure the adoption of a non-discriminatory and non-judgmental approach across the campus and must undertake periodic audits and establish an Internal Mental Health Oversight Committee to monitor and continuously improve mental health services.

### **Student Grievance Redressal Mechanism**

The CLE has to ensure the constitution and effective functioning of a Student Grievance Redressal Cell as per BCI guidelines (BCI:D:2382/2024), comprising senior faculty, administrative representatives, a female faculty member, and student representatives. The CLE has to ensure the maintenance of accessible online and offline grievance submission systems. The CLE has to ensure the maintenance of detailed records of all grievances and timely resolution without any retaliation. The CLE has to ensure submission of annual reports to the governing body and availability of these reports to the BCI during inspections.

### **Infrastructure and Exclusive Building Compliance Deficiencies**

CLE must place permanent Name Board outside the main gate and outside the academic building. CLE should have properly demarcated building with proper name board identifying the law college.



***You may kindly bear in mind that the Bar Council of India is the sole and supreme sanctioning authority for seats and it does not allow any supernumerary quota of seats for law degree courses, over and above the sanctioned strength of seats and whatever reservation of seats is to***

*done by the University under whichever quota as a rule has to be done within sanctioned strength of seats. The only supernumerary quota, as of now permitted by the Bar Council of India, is 10 percent seats in EWS quota over and above the sanctioned strength of seats allotted/approved by BCI and that too, is subject to adequate infrastructure and qualified faculty to accommodate the supernumerary seats. The same is required to be adhered to. If there is any default on such part and the same is discovered subsequently, action shall ensue.*

✓ *The CLE is advised to declare the same voluntarily for consideration of the same in a manner which will not be detrimental to interests of the students admitted, if any, over and above the sanctioned seats as a one time opportunity. The same shall not be construed to be applied prospectively.*

➤ *It is pertinent to point that no University in India can offer a 4 year LL.B or integrated LL.B, followed by a 1 year LL.M in tie up with a foreign University under the present BCI regulations. Such a Law degree, nor the post qualification after it, is recognised by Bar Council of India. The BCI only recognizes the pattern of a 12th class +3 (graduation in any stream+3 (year Law degree) and/or a 12 + 5 year integrated law degree.*

➤ *While exchange programs of teachers and students have been undertaken by Centers of Legal Education including, Law Universities in India, Dual Degrees or joint Degrees with Foreign Universities have not been permitted or recognized by BCI. It is essential to emphasize that any foreign collaboration involving legal education CLEs, must strictly adhere to the regulatory framework established by the Bar Council of India. It cannot compromise on the quality of legal education mandated by the BCI. It's worth noting that unless a degree is recognized by the BCI in India, it holds no validity within the country. Therefore, a degree obtained from an Indian University, being recognized solely in a foreign jurisdiction, without recognition in India, would be of no consequence to the university or its students.*

➤ *Recognition and approval of law degrees are exclusively within the purview of the Bar Council of India. Consequently, if, upon thorough evaluation it is determined Law degrees are being issued by Universities against the rules and norms of Bar Council of India, recognition of such degree/s shall be withdrawn and such degree holders shall not be entitled to be enrolled in any State Bar Council in India.*

➤ *BCI and its Legal Education Committee have time and again reiterated, stated and clarified, that it does not recognise LL.B and/or LL.M or any Law degree course through online mode, correspondence, open and/or distance learning mode.*

➤ *It has further come to the attention of the Bar Council of India and its Legal Education Committee that certain CLEs are offering Master of Arts (MA) programs with a Law subject, in open and distance learning mode, or online mode which attempts to mimic the structure and content of a Master of Laws (LL.M.) program. Upon careful examination, it has been observed that such an endeavor is beyond the scope and intent of a Master of Arts Degree and is deemed impermissible. It is hereby clarified that such MA degrees will not be recognized by the BCI as equivalent to a Master of Laws (LL.M.) degree and for the purpose of teaching of LL.B. Course.*

➤ *It is crucial to clarify that the designation "MA" signifies "Master of Arts," whereas "LL.M." represents "Legum Magister," a Latin term*

denoting a "Master of Laws" Degree. The distinction between these two titles is significant, as an LL.M. degree is specifically tailored for graduates of law programs, whereas an MA degree encompasses a broader range of academic disciplines and is separate and distinguished from Master of Laws Degree. It is a deliberate attempt to bypass LL.M. in such a manner.

➤ The BCI emphasizes that an MA degree with a Law subject does not confer the same benefits or privileges as an LL.M. degree. Individuals holding an MA degree in Law will not be entitled to the benefits typically associated with possessing an LL.M. degree, nor will they be eligible to teach in LL.B degree programs. Pursuit of legal education at the postgraduate level, in the form of an LL.M. degree, is restricted to individuals who have completed their undergraduate legal studies while it is clarified that any specialized branch of law offered at the master's level, without the LL.B./BA.LL.B qualification as the requisite entry-level credential, shall not be recognised as equivalent to an LL.M. degree.

➤ It is imperative for all stakeholders in the legal education sector to understand that the distinction between an MA degree and an LL.M. degree is significant. An MA degree with a Law subject does not fulfill the requirements for recognition by the BCI as a qualification equivalent to an LL.M. degree.

➤ This serves to clarify the position of the BCI on the recognition of MA degrees with a Law subject and to prevent any misconceptions regarding their equivalence to LL.M. degrees.

➤ The BCI and its Legal Education Committee has further also observed that running an MA (with Law subject) by any such mode is an attempt to mimic an LL.M, which is also not permitted by such mode.

➤ It has also been brought to the notice of Bar Council of India that some entities claiming to be Centre of Legal Education are offering courses through distance education/correspondence mode, under the nomenclature of the degree of LL.M. or LL.M. professional, where anyone even without LL.B. can get an LL.M. degree, and, the same persons are also getting registered for Ph.D., appearing for UGC NET etc. thereby diluting quality of legal education.

➤ This is an illegal practice and Bar Council of India shall not hesitate in taking stringent action against such centres.

### **RELEVANT SUPREME COURT AND HIGH DIRECTIONS INCLUDED IN ORDERS/JUDGEMENTS AND OTHER RELEVANT ASPECTS ARE AS FOLLOWS**

✓ **Recently the Bombay High Court, in its judgment dated 2nd April 2025 in the case of Smt. Nathibai Damodar Thackersey Women's University Law School vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors., W.P. No. 1501 of 2019**, reiterated and upheld the statutory powers and duties of the Bar Council of India under the Advocates Act, 1961, particularly in the context of regulating legal education. The petition had challenged several provisions of the Rules of Legal Education, 2008 framed by the BCI, and questioned the authority of the BCI to inspect law colleges affiliated to universities.

The Court emphasized that the BCI has a paramount statutory duty to maintain standards of legal education in the country. It observed, *"From perusal of Sections 7(1)(h), (i), (l) and (m) of the Act of 1961, it is evident that the maintenance of standards of legal education is the paramount statutory duty of the BCI"*. Further, it reaffirmed that the power of inspection is not restricted to universities alone but extends to all Centres of Legal Education, including law colleges affiliated to universities. The Court stated, *"The petitioner law school cannot claim any immunity from inspection by the Bar Council"*.

The judgment clarified the legal interpretation of Section 49(1)(d) of the Advocates Act, 1961, which grants BCI rule-making power to maintain standards in legal education. The Court held that the rule-making power under this section is both general and specific, noting that, *"Section 49(1) confers particular powers without prejudice to generality of general power already conferred and therefore, particular powers are only illustrative of general power and do not in any way restrict the general power"*. This interpretation enabled the Court to uphold the validity of the contested Rules under the 2008 framework, specifically Rules 2(iv)(a), 2(xii)(B), 14, 16(2), 18(2), 19(ii), 19(iii), and 26(a), declaring them *intra vires* the parent statute.

Importantly, the Court also addressed the relationship between the Advocates Act, 1961, the Maharashtra Public Universities Act, 2016, and the University Grants Commission Act, 1956. It held that where there is a conflict, the Advocates Act prevails, stating, *"Even assuming that there is an inconsistency between the provisions of the Act of 2016 and the Act of 1961, the provisions of the Act of 1961 will prevail as they have been enacted by the Parliament"*

The Court dismissed the petition and upheld the BCI's authority to regulate and inspect law colleges, reinforcing that the statutory framework established by the Advocates Act, 1961 and the Rules of Legal Education, 2008 are legally sound and necessary for maintaining the quality of legal education in India. It stated unequivocally, *"The challenge made in the petition to the Rules of 2008 being ultra vires the parent Act is without any basis"*.



### **The Kerala High Court in the case of Indira Gandhi**

#### **Memorial Trust Vs. State of Kerala, W.P. (Civil)**

**No. 34303/2023 vide its order dated 12th December, 2023** held that if a College intends to start a course during the academic year 2023-24, the application before the Bar Council has to be submitted before 31.12.2022. In effect, if an affiliation is granted by the University for a particular academic year, beyond such timeline, the same cannot be produced before the Bar Council of India for starting the course in the very same academic year going by the time schedules that are kept by the Bar Council of India.

The Hon'ble court directed the University to extend the affiliation dated 20.07.2023 issued for the academic year 2023-24 to be valid for the academic year 2024-25 and comply with the time schedules that are kept by the Bar Council of India.

Therefore, in view of the above, universities are directed to adhere to the above timeline or to any timeline notified by the Bar Council of India before each academic year for providing affiliation to any Centre of Legal Education.



**The Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court of Mumbai at Nagpur, in the Writ Petition Number 1114/2018 vide Judgment dated 08.04.2020 in re Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj Nagpur University and others vs. State of Maharashtra and**

**others (AIR 2020 Bom 135)**, upheld the constitutional validity of Rule 2(xxiv) "Regular Approval" means approval for not more than five years and includes permanent approval earlier granted to any Centre of Legal Education before these Rules come into force.

The Court observed that approval of educational CLEs is procedural, and the approval granted is in the nature of an existing right rather than a vested right. Legal education, the Court noted, is a dynamic process that requires maintaining standards, which cannot be confined to any time frame or remain static.



**In Bar Council of India v. Board of Management, Dayanand College of Law, Appeal (Civil) Nos. 5301-5302 of 2001 (decided on 28th November 2006), reported in (2007) 2 SCC 202, the Hon'ble Supreme Court categorically held that only individuals possessing a degree in law are eligible to serve as the head of a law college, thereby overruling the High Court's decision which had upheld the appointment of a Principal without a law qualification. The Court unequivocally affirmed that the Bar Council of India, as the apex statutory body under the Advocates Act, 1961, is entrusted to maintain standards of the legal profession and of those who seek entry into that profession. The Supreme Court emphasized that this authority cannot be overridden by university statutes or local regulations, reiterating that the BCI's regulatory mandate extends beyond enrolment to encompass the entire educational pipeline leading to entry into the legal profession.**



**Supporting this position, the Punjab & Haryana High Court in Shruti Bedi & Ors. v. Panjab University & Ors., CWP 13091 of 2023 (decided on 22.11.2023), explicitly held that the head of a Centre of Legal Education must be a law teacher with at least fifteen years of teaching experience and a Ph.D. in Law, in strict adherence to Rule 16 of the Bar Council of India Rules of Legal Education, 2008. The High Court further clarified in para 33 that once the Director exercises academic and financial powers, the post cannot be deemed honorary or ceremonial, and thus cannot be occupied by a person without a legal background. Additionally, para 23, the Court invoked Rule 16 of the BCI Rules to underscore that this requirement is not merely academic but statutory, flowing from the Advocates Act, 1961 and enforced through BCI's regulatory framework. The Supreme Court in Dayanand further opined that the BCI's role cannot be considered to be taken away by the Universities Acts, thereby confirming that compliance with BCI norms is mandatory and binding.**

Together, these landmark judgments firmly establish that appointments, leadership roles, and governance structures within legal education must rigorously conform to standards prescribed by the Bar Council of India, underscoring the indispensable role of BCI in safeguarding the quality, integrity, and constitutional objectives of legal education in India. Any deviation, undermines the statutory mandate and invites legal consequences



**The Madhya Pradesh High Court has recently on 07.03.2025 in Vyom Garg Case, which pertains to enrolment of those**

candidates who have obtained their degree from CLEs not approved/recognised by Bar Council of India, passed an order deterring malpractices and administrative laxity by Centers of Legal Education, it has held that CLEs found enrolling students without valid BCI approval now face the real threat of criminal prosecution. CLEs are now under clear judicial warning to comply strictly with BCI norms, including timely fee payments and adherence to affiliation and approval of affiliation by BCI procedures. Failure to comply not only results in loss of recognition but also exposes the CLEs to legal consequences and reputational damage. This, stresses on disciplined legal education governance, with zero tolerance for CLEs that jeopardize students' futures through non-compliance of BCI Rules of Legal Education Rules.



**The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Bar Council of India Vs. Rabi Sahu, Civil Appeal No. 8571/2013 vide its order dated 9th June, 2023** has held that in view of the Bar Council of India Rules, Part IV-

*Rules of Legal Education, 2008 prescribed by Bar Council of India, only graduates from recognized/approved Centres of Legal Education (Universities, University Departments, Constituent Units, Colleges etc.) by the Bar Council of India can be enrolled as advocates*



As per the order of the **Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 29.08.2019** passed in **Writ Petition (Civil) No.1510 of 2018**, titled as **Vinit Garg Vs. University Grants Commission** and as per the earlier order of **Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 03.11.2017** passed in **Civil Appeal Nos.17869-17870**, arising out of **SLP No. 19807-19808/2012** in the case of **Odisha Lift Irrigation Corp Ltd. Vs Ravi Shankar Patro & Ors.**, the **Hon'ble Supreme Court** has held that an University has to obtain permission from the concerned regulatory body for initiating/starting, opening and conducting any distance learning course/s.



As per **UGC Regulations 4(A)(iv), (Open and Distance Learning Programmes & Online Programmes) Regulations, 2020**, the Higher Educational CLE must have the approval or recommendations of the statutory or regulatory authority, in this case, the Bar Council of India (BCI), for offering law programs in Open and Distance Learning mode or Online mode.

*Explanation: Programmes as mentioned at clause (iv) shall be considered only when these are recommended by the respective statutory or regulatory authority or regulatory council to offer in Open and Distance Learning mode or Online mode, as applicable....."*



Furthermore, the **Distance Education Bureau under UGC** explicitly prohibits courses in engineering, law, medicine, dental, pharmacy, nursing, architecture, physiotherapy, applied arts, and other such programs from being offered through online mode without approval from the respective statutory or regulatory bodies.



*Some Universities, including some reputed Universities are running such programs in flagrant violation of clear directives and guidelines as stipulated above and without even having made a request or application to BCI in this regard. It is categorically made clear, such programs have no recognition and/or approval from BCI.*

**It is hereby clarified that the approval granted by the Bar Council of India shall remain valid only for the academic year or academic years specifically mentioned in this letter, unless the same is withdrawn, suspended, modified or made inoperative earlier on account of any violation of the Advocates Act, 1961, the Rules of Legal Education, 2008, the norms, standards, circulars, directions and conditions prescribed by the Bar Council of India, or on account of deficiency in affiliation, faculty, infrastructure, library, clinical legal education, admission process, intake, academic standards or any other mandatory requirement.**

**In the case of a new Centre of Legal Education or a new law course, approval is generally granted for one or two academic sessions or academic years, unless withdrawn earlier. In the case of existing Centres of Legal Education, the fee paid by such Centre of Legal Education is generally treated as valid for three academic sessions or academic years, unless the approval is withdrawn earlier or unless any contrary condition is specifically mentioned by the Bar Council of India. The fee structure can be seen from the link below**

**<https://www.barcouncilofindia.org/info/cle-fee-structure-01>**

**The Centre of Legal Education shall, therefore, take due note that before the expiry of the period of approval granted by the Bar Council of India, and in any case on or before 31st December of every year, it must submit a proper application on the Bar Council of India portal for extension of approval of affiliation for the next academic year or academic session. The relevant links in this regard are as follows**

**New CLE's can register via this link: <https://www.barcouncilofindia.org/cle/register/new>**

**For Existing CLE's:-**

**Extension of approval: <https://www.barcouncilofindia.org/request/new?at=cleCourseExtension>**

**Fresh**

**Course: <https://www.barcouncilofindia.org/request/new?at=cleNewCourse>**

**Additional**

**Section: <https://www.barcouncilofindia.org/request/new?at=cleCourseIncrease>**

**Such application must be complete in all respects and must be accompanied by the prescribed fee, valid and current affiliation or continuation of affiliation granted by the affiliating University, and all other documents, undertakings, affidavits, compliance materials and records as may be required under the Rules of Legal Education, 2008, the BCI norms, circulars, directions and portal requirements.**

**It is further clarified that mere updating of records, uploading of partial documents, previous payment of fee, earlier approval, earlier inspection, or continuation of classes shall not by itself be treated as an application for extension of approval. The Centre of Legal Education must specifically apply for extension of approval of affiliation on the Bar Council of India portal, with complete documents and prescribed fee, if same is due, within the stipulated time.**

**In the absence of such timely and complete application, the extension of approval shall not be deemed or presumed to have been granted by the Bar Council of India. The Centre of Legal Education shall also be liable to pay the applicable late fee, default fee, inspection fee, approval fee, guarantee fee or any other fee as may be prescribed by the Bar Council of India from time to time. Any admission made without valid approval or without timely extension of approval shall be at the risk and responsibility of the concerned Centre of Legal Education and its affiliating University, and shall be subject to appropriate action in accordance with law and the Rules of Legal Education, 2008.**

**The CLE and the University shall ensure timely application for extension/further approval on the BCI portal before expiry of the present approval and in any case within the prescribed time, with valid affiliation, prescribed fee, guarantee fee if applicable, compliance affidavit and all supporting documents. No admission shall be made beyond the sanctioned intake or in any unapproved course, section, mode**


**or campus on the basis of past approval, pending application, oral assurance or portal updating.**

**No agent, tout or unauthorised intermediary shall be entertained in any legal education related matter. Only authorised senior functionaries of the CLE/University carrying proper authority letter and valid identity proof shall interact with BCI.**

**This is for your information, necessary compliance and action.**

**Yours sincerely,**

  
**Nalin Raj Chaturvedi**  
**Additional Secretary**

  
**Srimanto Sen**  
**Principal Secretary**


#### **NOTE**

**Public Meeting for Legal Education related Matters will be held from Monday to Thursday every week between 3.00 p.m. to 4.30 p.m. only at BCI office at 21 Rouse Avenue Institutional Area, New Delhi 110002 (2nd floor, Conference room) with Chancellor, Vice Chancellor, Pro-Vice-Chancellor, Registrar, Director, Dean, Principal, Academician/Permanent Faculty of Law, Head of Department, /Member of Society/or Trust which has established the CLE, administrative personnel, attached to(permanently working with Center of Legal Education) and/ Society Registration documents and/or with owners whose name should be documented in Trust Deed. All should carry CLE photo id cards as well as Aadhar/PAN/Passport for identity along with authority letter from authorised personnel like VC, Registrar, Dean, Principal or owner whose Identity should be clear from documents like Trust Deed, Society Registration papers, Photo Ids referred to above.**

**Authority letter has to bear original signature as on Govt. id like PAN Card/Passport, FULL NAME, DESIGNATION, MOBILE NUMBER AND EMAIL ID of person issuing authority letter as well as of person who is being issued authority letter.**

**No agents/touts or unauthorised personnel shall be entertained at any cost.**

**All visitors will have to fill the following form with attachments before the meeting.**

Typed & Verified by: R.U. 

On behalf of BCI, the meeting will be attended by Principal Secretary Mr. Srimanto Sen and/or Mr. Nalin Raj Chaturvedi, Additional Secretary and/or in absence of one/both of them by Mr. Awanish Kumar Pandey, Additional Secretary.

No other BCI employee is authorised to discuss Legal Education Related Matters.

To ensure the integrity and quality of legal education, it is essential to prevent unauthorized personnel, agents and touts from interfering in academic and administrative matters. Unauthorized interference often leads to misinformation and compromises the standards of legal education. Therefore, **ONLY SENIOR AND AUTHORISED PERSONNEL AS MENTIONED ABOVE WILL BE ENTERTAINED.**

Your cooperation is required to maintain transparency and uphold the highest standards in legal education. This measure is in the best interest of all stakeholders and aims to promote an environment conducive to the betterment of legal education.